County: Island Grant No: SEANWS-2018-IsCoPH-00011

PROJECT TITLE: Island County Marine Resources Committee Operations and Projects

DELIVERABLES FOR TASK NO: 2.2 – Copy of forage fish data and summary report on forage fish survey activities

PROGRESS REPORT: [ ]

FINAL REPORT [ X ]

PERIOD COVERED: October 2018 - September 2019

DATE SUBMITTED: 9/30/2019





This project has been funded wholly or in part by the United States Environmental Protection Agency under Assistance Agreement [CE-01J31901]. The contents of this document do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the Environmental Protection Agency, nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

# Forage Fish Spawning Survey 2018-2019: Island County Marine Resources Committee

# Goal

Forage fish are a vital part of the Puget Sound ecosystem, and the monitoring of their status is an important component to the recovery of Puget Sound and the Salish Sea. This project of the Island County Marine Resources Committee (MRC) focuses on forage fish spawning at nearshore restoration sites and index sites. Index sites are locations identified by Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) which have public access and have previous documentation of forage fish spawning.

The goals of the intertidal forage fish spawning surveys in Island County are to:

- Monitor forage fish spawning at selected sites in conjunction with completed, planned, and proposed shoreline restoration work.
- Expand regional knowledge of location of forage fish spawning through index site surveys.

This survey is designed to establish continuity with existing WDFW and Washington State Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) data in an effort to define trends and develop an understanding of the conditions and processes affecting the study areas over time. To achieve this, all surveys use established standards and sampling methodologies developed and made available by WDFW. As the planned monitoring program is implemented over succeeding years, it will generate data that can be used to establish baseline conditions, define trends, document changes, track restoration projects, and identify potential new restoration opportunities.

## **Project Leads and Volunteers**

The 2018-2019 season brought about some changes, as new volunteers came on board and previous volunteers stepped down. The MRC would like to extend a special thanks to Ruth Richards and Dan Matlock, former MRC members and project leads who helped transition the team to new volunteers.

Collectively, volunteers put 272 hours of invaluable service into this project during the 2018-2019 season.

Volunteer	Role	Survey Locations				
Matt Kukuk	Project Co-Lead	South Whidbey				
Barbara Bennett	Project Co-Lead	Outreach Lead				
Dean Nelson	Survey Lead	Camano				

Greg Bloom	Volunteer	Camano
Paul Williams	Volunteer	Camano
Karen Scharer	Survey Co-Lead	Cornet Bay, Ala Spit
Matt Colston	Survey Co-Lead	Cornet Bay, Ala Spit
Michael Stilwell	Survey Lead	Hidden Beach
Deborah Stilwell	Volunteer	Hidden Beach
Kristin Galbreaith	Survey Co-Lead	Seahorse Siesta (starting
		Nov 2019
Rachel Nostrom	Survey Co-Lead	Seahorse Siesta (starting
		Nov 2019)
Robert Warren	Survey Lead	Glendale (re-starting Oct
		2019)
Ruth Richards	2018 Project Lead	North Whidbey
Dan Matlock	2018 Survey Lead	South Whidbey
Jay Adams	2018 Volunteer	North Whidbey
Jamie Hartley	2018 Volunteer	North Whidbey
Elizabeth McCullough	2018 Volunteer	South Whidbey

## **Site Selection**

The MRC conducts several intertidal and subtidal surveys, including forage fish, eelgrass and kelp. In addition, the MRC participates in shoreline restoration projects in the County. In an effort to create a deeper knowledge base of the health of our shoreline, the MRC chose monitoring sites at which survey or restoration projects are being conducted. Restoration projects at the selected forage fish monitoring sites are in feasibility, in-progress, or postproject phases. In addition, in collaboration with WDFW, volunteers conducted surveys index sites.

As part of the project assessment and volunteer transition at the end of 2018, the MRC assessed volunteer capacity and prioritized project sites. This resulted in a shift to a greater focus on restoration sites while still maintaining at least one index site. During this period of transition, there were several months where samples were not collected. This is reflected by greyed out portions in the data summary table.

Sites are shown on the following map. Green stars indicate restoration sites. Blue stars indicate index sites.

## Restoration sites: <u>1. Cornet Bay</u>

**Project information:** Bulkhead removal, fill removal, beach regrading occurred in 2012. Removal of fill and beach regrading in section southwest of original restoration completed in Fall 2015. Forage fish surveys in conjunction with this restoration project have occurred here since 2009.

Location: North Whidbey Island. Stations: 3: N 48.4019 W 122.6216, N 48.3997 W 122.6243, N 48.3986 W 122.6259

**# Samples/month:** 3 (3 stations, 1 time/month) **Lead:** Karen Scharer, Matt Colston

# <u>2. Ala Spit</u>

**Project information:** Concrete bulkhead removed, beach nourishment to neck of the spit in 2015.

Location: Northeast Whidbey Island. Stations: 3: N 48.3924 W 122.5862, N 48.3933 W 122.5863, N 48.3980 W 122.5864

# Samples/month: 1 (1 station, 1
time/month)
Lead: Karen Scharer, Matt Colston

## <u>3. Hidden Beach</u>

**Project information:** Proposed restoration project to remove shoreline armor and debris over 750 linear feet of shoreline to improve intertidal and backshore beach habitat. **Location:** Central Whidbey Island

**Stations:** 3. 2 samples/station. (Started with 4 stations, 3 samples/station) **# Samples/month:** 6 (3 stations, 2 samples/station, 1 time/month) **Lead:** Michael Stilwell

Index sites: <u>4. Windjammer</u> Discontinued February 2019 Location: Northeast Whidbey Island (Oak Harbor). Station: 1: N 48.2840 W 122.6554 # Samples/month: 1 (1 station, 1 time/month) Lead: Ruth Richards



#### 5. Maple Grove

Location: Northwest Camano Island. Station: 1: N 48.2527 W122.5180 # Samples/month: 1 (1 station, 1 time/month) Lead: Dean Nelson

<u>6. Long Point</u> Discontinued February 2019 Location: Eastern Whidbey Island (Penn Cove). Station: 1: N 48.2267 W 122.6490 # Samples/month: 1 (1 station, 1 time/month) Lead: Ruth Richards

<u>7. Freeland Park</u> Discontinued December 2018
Location: Southeast Whidbey Island (Holmes Harbor).
Station: 1: N 48.016008 W 122.532738
# Samples/month: 1 (1 station, 1 time/month)
Lead: Dan Matlock

<u>8. Glendale</u> Discontinued December 2018, plans to restart October 2019
Location: Southeast Whidbey Island.
Station: 1: N 47.93822 W 122.35850
# Samples/month: 1 (1 station, 1 time/month)
Lead: Dan Matlock (previous), Robert Warren to lead in October

## **Protocol**

The sampling design follows the WDFW Intertidal Forage Fish Spawning Habitat Survey Protocols, Procedures for Obtaining Bulk Beach Substrate Samples (Philip Dionne WDFW) based on earlier protocols developed by Dan Penttila (Penttila, 2011). See Appendix A.

## Training

Eleven volunteers attended a training conducted by WDFW in June 2019. The current and former project leads have provided additional on-site training and oversight for newer volunteers.

## **Data and Results**

Survey samples, beach survey sheets and photographs were shared regularly with WDFW, who conducted the data analysis and reporting of results. Due to limited resources within WDFW, results were often not available until some months later. As such, the data summary table below is incomplete for the full project period. This table will be updated as results are provided. Survey sheets are included in Appendix B. The tracking sheet provided by WDFW is included in Appendix C. The summary maps of results provided by WDFW are included in Appendix D.

## **Data Summary**

The table below summarizes when and where spawn presence was recorded at our restoration and index sites.

Site		<b></b>	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
Туре	Site	Station	2018	2018	2018	2019	2019	2019	2019	2019	2019	2019	2019	2019
Restoration	Ala Spit	1												
	Cornet Bay	1												
	Cornet Bay	4												
	Cornet Bay	6												
	Hidden Beach	1								*				
	Hidden Beach	2												
	Hidden Beach	3												
	Hidden Beach	4												
Index	Freeland Park	1												
	Freeland Park	2												
	Glendale	1												
	Long Point	1												
	Maple Grove	1												
	Windjammer	1												

Legend				
Surf smelt				
Sand lance				
Not sampled				
No presence				
Pending analysis				

\* Note from WDFW: 3 eggs found. All eggs are severely decomposed with no embryos. They are surf smelt, but could be contamination from improperly cleaned equipment.

## **Lessons Learned**

- An annual assessment of sites, along with volunteer interest and capacity, is essential to keeping volunteers engaged and in avoiding burnout. Site selection should align with MRC goals, and should take into consideration volunteer availability. Often there are more sites of interest than is reasonable to undertake with existing volunteer capacity.
- The current project setup consists of two project co-leads one focused on the survey and another focused on the outreach component. Teams of two volunteers choose a beach to survey of the sites the MRC has selected, and decide how to schedule their monthly surveys. Some volunteers prefer to sample every month as a team; others prefer to alternate months. This setup gives volunteers some flexibility in their scheduling. The project co-leads and MRC Coordinator are available to help with surveys or fill in for volunteers as needed.

- For outreach, the MRC has a sandwich board with a poster about the importance of forage fish in the marine food web and an explanation of what the volunteers are doing. The sandwich board is available for any volunteer team that would like to use it, though volunteers have found it most helpful to have one person dedicated to outreach to stand by the sandwich board and answer questions, so the survey volunteers can focus on the survey. The board is not used during every survey, but has proved to be an opportunity to talk to beach-goers about forage fish when it has been used.
- While there continue to be some time delays in sample processing, this has improved somewhat over the past year. The MRC looks forward to continued improvement and communication in sample processing timelines.
- Regular conference calls with WDFW and the Northwest Straits Commission have proved helpful. Project leads and volunteers find this a useful time to seek clarity on sampling procedures and methods.

### **Photos**

Photos from June 24, 2019 training with WDFW.

